

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,647	09/29/2005	Stefan Kalveram	WP 21513 US	9635
32842 7590 01/22/2008 The Law Office of Jill. Woodburn, LLC 128 Shore Dr.			EXAMINER	
			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
Portage, IN 46368			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		• •	2877	
٠.				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/535,647	KALVERAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	L. G. Lauchman	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rown.  Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Alexandre.	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 May 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ .	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	· ·				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>4-20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been	Application No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
·					
Attachment(s)	·				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) Motice of I	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/04/2005</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	<u></u> ·			

. Application/Control Number: 10/535,647

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 4-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-20 not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas et al (US 6,285,454) ("Douglas"), and in view of Saren Johnston's Newsletter of Ames Laboratory, Sensible sensors, March 2002.

As to Claim 1, Douglas discloses a measuring device for optically analyzing a diagnostic test element (1) comprising: a light source (50), a photodetector (60) and a device (10) for positioning the test element (1) in an optical path between the light source (50) and photodetector (60), the light source (50) forming a composite structure by means of a support substrate (75) with an imaging optics (300) and/or the photodetector (60).

Douglas does not reach that the light source (50) has one or more organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs). Saren Johnston's Newsletter of Ames Laboratory, *Sensible sensors*, March 2002, teaches a new integrated OLED/optical chemical sensor.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was conceived to use OLED in the invention of Douglas, because "the versatility, flexibility and cost-effectiveness of OLEDs offer excellent opportunities for developing OLED/optical chemical sensor arrays and high-density microarrays" (last paragraph of page 1 of the Newsletter).

As to Claims 2 and 3, Saren Johnston's Newsletter of Ames Laboratory, *Sensible sensors*, March 2002, teaches the OLED sensor to be two-dimensional (page 2, paragraph 3). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was conceived to have a one-dimensional or two-dimensional light-emitting pixel array in the invention of Douglas in view of the Newsletter, in order to provide higher accuracy imaging analysis of the sample strip.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Clark (US 2003/0010892) discloses an imaging system by replacing the fluorescent lamp with an OLED array.

Cromwell et al (US 2005/0046847) discloses an active sensor with integrated OLEDs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418. The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00am to 4:30pm (EST), Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059, ext. 77.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L: G. Lauchman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

1/7/2008